

**REMARKS**

The Examiner required an election between claims 1-26 and 57, claims 27-53, and claims 54-56. Applicants respectfully elect claims 1-26 and 57 -58. Applicants submit that the Examiner did not list claim 58 in the groupings in restriction requirement. Yet, since claim 58 is dependent on claim 57, Applicants submit that it is correct to include the claim in the election as part of the same class.

**IN THE CLAIMS**

Please **cancel** claims 27-53, and claims 54-56 without prejudice.

The applicants have faithfully tried to respond to the notice of non-compliance to restriction requirement by including a listing of all claims. Additionally, applicants have addressed all issues raised by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,



Lori-Anne M. Neiger  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 44,949

Date: June 27, 2005

Lori-Anne M. Neiger  
Patent Attorney  
Brookhaven National Laboratory  
Building 475D  
P.O. Box 5000  
Upton, NY 11973-5000  
(631) 344-3035